

5th of Work
file

SECRET

1 July 1981

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Initial Grill Flame Briefing to MG Stubblebine as CG, INSCOM,
30 June 1981

1. (U) This MFR outlines the major points of the briefing I gave to MG Stubblebine on 30 June 1981. Following personnel attended the briefing:

MG STUBBLEBINE, CG, INSCOM
BG FLYNN, DCG-I
COL(P) WEINSTEIN, DCG-S
COL WHITE, ADCSOPS-HUMINT
MR. GARRETT, DDCSOPS-HUMINT
LTC JACHIM, INCOMING PROJECT MANAGER
LTC WATT, PROJECT MANAGER

2. (U) Incl 1 covers the primary points of my briefing and depicts the status of the program as of 26 June 1981.

3. (U) MG Stubblebine instructed us to ensure that there were no legal problems concerning our joint contractual effort with DIA, especially to be watchful of mixing OM&A and R&D monies as this is forbidden by regulations. I informed the CG that OACSI was currently researching the problem, and we would ensure that all aspects of INSCOM's involvement complied with the regulations.

4. (S/NOFORN) I briefed the current plans for FY81 and FY82, including problems we have had with the contract for FY81. I explained that we still had not transferred the 130K to DIA because the Army General Consul (AGC) and DoD General Consul could not agree on the "Human Use Issue." I mentioned that we had received word from OACSI (MAJ O'Keefe) that Congressman Rose had called the Secretary of Army about the situation. In turn, the Secretary had called AGC and instructed that the issue be settled promptly. Although INSCOM is not at fault for the delay, I pointed out that the excessive delay hurts the program as a whole and could give the impression of poor project management. MG Stubblebine will discuss the issue with the ACSI (MG Thompson) on 2 July 1981.

Regarding FY 82, I explained that our current budget for FY82 will result in a short-fall of approximately 50K to carry out the recommended work with SRI. MG Stubblebine felt that end-of-year funds could be used to make up the difference.

5. (U) MG Stubblebine reviewed some of the customer evaluations that we have received. He expressed the thought that the evaluations were a clear indication that we were on to something and that work in this area had to continue and be fully supported. (Evaluations are on file in this office)

CLASSIFICATION MSG DAm-1514
DATE CLASSIFIED 05/16/2021 JUL 78
ON
REVIEW ON JUL 2001
EXTENDED BY _____
REASON _____

SECRET

6. (U) I outlined our current plans for bringing new personnel on board and what we had already done in this regard. The CG fully supported our ideas. BG Flynn concurred, but reminded all that full-time strength cannot exceed current task force level (i.e.-9 people).

7. (U) CG expressed strong interest in some of our OPSEC work and wants us to continue work in that area.

8. (U) COL (P) Weinstein recommended that we consider training techniques that involved work with names of individuals and places.

9. (U) The CG voiced strong support for the program and stressed that we keep him informed of progress and of any problems we encounter. Meeting adjourned at 1730 hours.

1 Incl
Program Development

Murray B. Watt
MURRAY B. WATT
LTC, GS
Project Manager

NOTED *cm*
CHAD B. WHITE
COL, GS ADCSOPS-HUMINT

2
REPORT
RECEIVED

SECRET

DRAFT

SOW: NIC

5/27/81

(PSYCHOENERGETICS--THREAT TO U.S. AND POTENTIAL APPLICATIONS)

1. General

The objectives of this effort are as follows:

- (1) Continue investigations and other efforts that will provide more accurate and more reliable remote viewings.
- (2) Continue to observe, evaluate and report findings of foreign psi research.
- (3) Continue investigating countermeasures to remote viewing and other psi phenomena.
- (4) Assess the optimum utilization and application of remote viewing and other psi phenomena to intelligence functions.

2.0 Specific Tasks (FY'82)

2.1 Bring remote viewing abilities of at least three individuals to a point where data are at least 60% accurate.

2.1.1 Demonstrate the 60% accuracy, at the close of the year, on a series of real operational targets.

2.1.2 Evaluate foreign literature and assess how close other nations are to having a remote viewing capability.

2.2 Review and evaluate all foreign research on psi and prepare a series of reports on the capabilities of the leading nations.

CLASSIFIED BY: DIA-DT
REVIEW ON: 27 May 2001

1

SRI 1-8221

This document contains 8 pages.

SOW(SR)
101-06
1982

SECRET**DRAFT**

2.2.1 Evaluate the credibility of foreign psi and report that which is real and that which is only claimed but cannot be verified.

2.2.2 Give particular attention to those developments in foreign psi work that show applications potential and which have potential for use by the military and/or intelligence communities.

✓2.3. Examine potential countermeasure to psi phenomena.

✓2.3.1 Remote viewing seems to have the most immediate value as an intelligence tool. It should, therefore, be given the highest priority in searching for methods blocking its application and neutralizing it as a collection tool.

✓2.3.2 The countermeasure tool will be demonstrated at the close of the fiscal year.

✓2.3.3 Initiate investigations of countermeasures to other selected psi phenomena.

2.4 Prepare a report that matches intelligence functions with psi phenomena (i.e., which intelligence function can benefit from which psi ability).

✓2.5 Develop computerized data system and input all psi data derived by contractor and when available that derived by other psi data generating organizations.

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

2.5.1 This data base will be used to do the following task.

2.5.1.1 Organize and store all data.

2.5.1.2 Determine the extent that specific variables have on psi functioning.

2.5.1.3 Determine which viewers should do which targets at what time.

2.5.1.4 Permit other questions that would affect the operational application of RV to be answered before a session begins.

2.5.1.5 The data should have maximum manipulability to serve the needs of personnel involved in RV enhancement, applying RV to operational task and those looking for potential counter-measures.

2.5.2 Data concerning foreign efforts should also be in this system and will be used to assess their current capabilities and trends in their work.

2.6 Conduct experiments in PK that will establish the extent to which this phenomena is a threat to the U.S. military structure.

2.6.1 Only basic efforts are intended in this initial work.

2.6.2 Validity of claims in foreign PK work should be examined.

2.6.3 Recommend which aspects of PK should be further investigated in follow-on years.

2.7 Throughout the contract year the sponsor will provide the opportunity for doing remote viewing of real operational targets. This will serve two purposes; to gain needed intelligence and to provide a measure of the success of the reliability enhancement program.

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~~~CONFIDENTIAL~~

2.8 It is anticipated that during the contract period there will be quick reaction tasks approval by the Grill Flame Committee, passed through the COTR and levied on the contractor. These will be of high priority and will require prompt attention.

3. Security Requirements

It is expected that a maximum of six SI/SAO billets will be required to properly support the program. If and when SRI International commences work on operational situations, it is required that all personnel connected with operational matters possess the necessary clearances.

4. Deliverables

The contractor shall provide the following.

4.1 Quarterly reports that will highlight accomplishments and resources expanded during the quarter will be prepared and given to the COTR. These reports will then display the work accomplished and funds expended on the entire project and each of its sub-projects. The quarterly report will thus provide the sponsors a current status of each of the task in the project.

4.2 Additional specific reports.

4.2.1 Evaluation of foreign RV capability.

4.2.2 Potential countermeasures to psi phenomena (not including RV).

4.2.3 Series of reports on foreign psi capability.

4.2.4 Intelligence functions and psi.

~~SECRET~~

DRAFT

4.3 Final Report. Brief summary of all work done, results and funds expended during the contract year.

4.4 Program progress briefing shall be of an informal nature and shall be held at the end of each quarter. The contractor shall furnish copies of informal charts, viewgraphs and other aids as may be needed. The informal briefings shall consist of a contractor presentation and informal discussion among contractor, funding agencies and other government personnel as appropriate.

4.5 Quick reaction reports as required by the COTR. They will be of limited scope and deal with subjects of especially high interest and/or critical timeliness.

4.6 All supporting material, i.e., notes, maps, tapes for this contract will be made available by the contractor to the COTR and no such material will be destroyed without written permission.

5. Delivery Schedule

The COTR will insure members of the Grill Flame Committee receive copies of all deliverables.

5.1 Quarterly Reports: Five copies to the COTR. The first report is due 100 days after the contract award date and will cover the first 90 days of the contract. Subsequent reports will be due each 90 days thereafter, i.e., 190 days after contract award, 280 days, 370 days, etc.

5.2 Draft Final Report: Five copies to the COTR within 30 days of completion of the contract. Comments will be returned to the contractor within 45 days of receipt of the draft.

~~SECRET~~

5.3 Final Report: Ten copies and a camera ready master to the COTR within 45 days of receipt of the comments made on the Draft Final Report.

5.4 Program Progress Briefings: Approximately 4 per year are anticipated. Specific times and places will be established by the COTR. Some briefings will occur in the Washington, D.C. area and may involve more than one presentation by the contractors.

5.5 Quick Reaction Reports: Number of copies, place and time of delivery to be determined by the COTR.

5.6 Supporting Materials: Provided to the COTR when requested during the period of contract performance. All such material will be finally delivered to the COTR at the same time as Final Report.

(5.3 above).

SECRET**DRAFT**

SOW - NIC COST SHEET

I	RV reliability enhancement development & evaluation (2.1, 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.5)	\$200K
II	Intelligence Assessment of Foreign Work (2.2, 2.2.1, 2.2.2)	\$100K
III	Countermeasures (2.3, 2.3.1, 2.3.2)	\$50K
IV	Psi applied to Intelligence functions	\$50K
V	Psi computer enhanced data storage and retrieval system	\$50K
VI	PK investigations	\$35K

SECRET
SECRET

JL/RL

DRAFT

ALTERNATE FINANCIAL PLANS

Plan #1

DIA - \$285K + additional
200K
\$485K This is equal to \$485 in SOW

Plan #2

DIA - \$285K
200K (+) for I.
\$485K Number I. removed from DIA SOW and included in
Army SOW

Plan #3

DIA - \$285K
Army 200K (+) not for I.
\$485K DIA supports only I. and II in SOW

Plan #4

DIA - \$285K+ additional
200K
\$485K
Army - 200K(+)
\$685K DIA support to all points in SOW. Army support to _____?

Plan #5

DIA at \$285K for \$485K
Army at \$200K or _____
Other participants
Single new SOW be written to incorporate all parties funds & requirements.

SECRET